Eviction attempt is postponed

By DAVID PALLISTER

An attempt by Mr Joseph tions of the Government's Land Levy, the property millionaire, Bill, published yesterday.

The squatters, based on the Tolmers Square redevelopment area, were summoned to appear in court today by Gleniffer Finance, a subsidiary of Mr Levy's Stock Conversion and Investment Trust, which was responsible for the Euston Centre. The company's application for possession orders on the 26 houses is the latest move

group of decaying Georgian for the evictions," says Mr Pedro "We have been unable to get nouses next to Euston Station George, a member of the squathas been postponed for a month ters' committee. "We can only after intervention by the Camthey will be in a stronger negotiating position if they have vacant possession. But the houses will be standing empty then for maybe two years."

The Stock Conversion and Investment Trust said yester-day: "We have no comment to make, and we have never made any comment in the past. That is our policy."

It appears that the Land Bill

the 26 houses is the latest move in the acrimonious and protracted dispute over control and development of the area.

The company has now agreed to adjournment of the case until have to pay for the properties since development land will continue to be compensated at market value. In the case of the Camden Council, Mr Frank Dobson. But if the evictions go ahead next month the Tolmers Square, where Stock Conversion started buying property in 1962, land values have soared from £300,000 an acre in 1970 to over £1 million.

Ironically, the Bill could benefit Mr Levy. Some leading council officials believe that Stock Conversion wants to evict the squatters are particularly perplexed about Stock Conversion wants to evict the squatters so that the houses can be renovated as long-lease, expensive flats which would push up the market values for acquisition by the council officials are understood to be working on renovation, but have been advised not to comment because of the "politics" involved. held back until the council has advised not to comment because had time to study the implica- of the "politics" involved.