re-housed

properties of the should be re-housed new Netley Street

## NO NEW approach is to be made to Joe Levy by Camden Council over the Tolmers Square Redevelopment area, the Canda Jouna 28 June 1974

clude both rehabilitation and re-development.

The TVA, who are promised consultation, have pressured for a scheme which would save the bulk of the homes in the area.

Mearwhile, the Euston Road frontage part of the development site — where Levy still hopes to make his money with a lucrative commins a problem.

Officers were asking the committee for instruction as to whether any renewed approach should be made to Levy on the premise that, since he owned the frontainly have to be a joint development with him.

But the committee agreed that Camden's Chief Planning Officer, Bruto Schlaffenberg, should look into possible community uses for the Euston Road front age. agreed on Monday night. Community Planning Committee went meeting in search of

deputation who

2

promises came away with nothing they could grip on to — just a few favourable noises which indicated that the councillors were sympathetic to the residents' demands. The residents — members of the Tolmers Village Association — asked for a written guarantee from the council that none of the residents or businesses will be displaced against their will, when the council become owners of property in the area.

"We can't give a written guarantee, for legal reasons," 'said committee chairman and leader of the council Frank Dobson. "But it is the council's policy not to displace people against their will."

nday night, the commissioned Renton, Howard of to prepare a r the housing part welopment are — which would in-The TVA asked the council to buy up all Levy property immediately. But that, said Cllr Dobson was impossible unless the council On Monday night, uncommittee commissioned architects Renton, Howard and Wood to prepare a scheme for the housing part of the development area. possible unless the council had a scheme for the rehabilitation, development or use of the properties.

at the meeting the desir-

alternative development.

there as commercial of magistrates

## compromise for Euston

CAMDEN Council has decided to look into the possibility of a mixed rehabilitation and redevelopment scheme for the residential areas surrounding Tolmers Square, in Euston.

Following the decision at the last council meeting to apply for compulsory pur-chase orders on properties to be rehabilitated east of North Gower Street, the Community Planning and Resources Committee decided on Monday on a new outline policy for the remaining area, between North Gower Street and Hampstead Road.

Councillors were reminded that a scheme for the re-development of the whole area had been prepared last year by architects Renton. Howard Wood Associates. but had not been approved by the council. The Town Clerk had also had discussions with the architects. with the architects about the possibility of carry-

ing out a general rehabilitation scheme for the residential properties in the triangular area.

The report to the com-mittee continued: "It is clear that while this would be physically achievable, it would be extremely costly and there must be serious as to whether it doubt would be an economic proposition.

The report also pointed out that the density produced by rehabilitation would be lower than that which would

result from redevelopment. The Committee therefore decided to instruct the architects to do a feasibility study a compromise a mixed scheme of rehabilitation and redevelopment, which would exclude the frontage on Euston Road which is not

suitable for residential use.
"If the feasibility study proves to be satisfactory, we will be likely to use it as the basis for a compulsory pur-chase order, on housing grounds, on the residential areas (owned by Joe Levy's

.

Stock Conversion Ltd)," said committee chairman Council-

lor Frank Dobson.
"As far as the frontage on Euston Road is concerned, we will be looking at this from first principles again, asking ourselves what do we want on the site in community planning terms?" he added.

A few councillors believed that the brief to the architects was too unspecified. Opposition leader, Councillor Alan Greengross said: "This will put the architects in a difficult position. We must give them norms. At the moment they have no indications of how we regard rehabilitation against redevelopment.'

Councillor Neil McIntosh replied: "The architects are perfectly capable of assessing all the possibilities without our help, and they are perfectly capable of assessing the possibilities of getting loan sanctions from the Govrehabilitation ernment for schemes."

Housing chairman Council-

lor John Mills saw the decision as not having gone far enough, however, "We must decide on our general strat-egy to get all this land our our ownership. he said.

Mr Mills asked the committee to apply for CPOs for the residential areas on housing grounds, and CPOs planning grounds for Euston Road frontage.

"We should show our conours on this as soon as pos-sible," he said. Mr Dobson replied: "The

decision to aply for CPOs the properties will have a wait for the result of the sur vey. But I would like to street that the delay is not due any fear of, or co-operativith, Stock Conversion."

The Committee also re

ceived a deputation from Interest Village Association which called on the cour to, among other things. a compulsory purchase order on all property owned by Lavy "immediately, and re store them to a habitable c dition forthwith."