ober 1976



rdered the CIA to assas m's strong man, Dien , tions. al files on his personal, nt-elect, Nixon was de-TA down to size because my" as part of an east loathed. The then CIA ims, retaliated by plantt in the White House or Hunt to be caught nocratic national headen blackmailed Nixon e original Diem report, him. Felms, as ambasif ollow the trail source, you will find edy caused Watergate. s the message of John à clef. It is monumena case for the Waterspy novel, it is "comnces are professionally eave just enough of a olemic. But as genuine plausible character or he Company is a dud. t coyly omits to say convicted Watergate ip to eight years in was recently upheld by coording to press renovel" to help pay off The book's internal s that, along with setiding some against his lichman uses fiction h the American right dt fend Nixon: y he got caught.

y ne got caught. ffective partly because cennedy certainly got ved ordering federal those whom he hated. I FDR was not above d then. What John create a Washington Poor Richard arrives, of corruption built by mocratic) administraes seem tame by com-

wiews have concenfind out what John ought about his colport. Richard Nixon, is a vindictive, anti-He is remote, plastic, the Department fags"; ne or two drinks to to an offensive slob." hman's name for his t "ruthless and excestor . . . His eyes were

## **Vew Society, 28 October 1976**

wider political processes at work in society. So long as the rationale behind urban relevelopment is financial profit at the exsense of people's living space in a satisactory environment there will be more rattles like the one for Tolmers Square. Readers of this otherwise excellent book might have been encouraged if the author had said more about some potential solutions

the cra into supplying al files on his personal int-elect, Nixon was de-BIGALS BSAOH NOXY

> In a sense, The Company is a little more psychologically complex than it seems. Ehrlichman has split his alter ego into two somewhat contradictory parts: for fictional purposes (and possibly to satisfy a longburning envy) he promotes himself, as Frank Flaherty, to Bob Haldeman's old post as Nixon's right hand man instead of the lesjob Ehrlichman actually held as ser domestic affairs advisor. But since this might imply he knew more about Watergate shenanigans than he told Judge Sirica, he also hives himself off into William Martin, the passive "conduit." Not even Sherlock Holmes, I suspect, could find any incrimmating evidence against John Ehrlichman in The Company. And that, of course, makes it all a bit of a nonsense,

## Squat for victory

The Battle for Tolmers Square Routledge & Kegan Paul £2.95 Nick Anning

Since 1957 a battle has been going on over the comparatively small, but highly profitable area at the top of London's Tottenham Court Road known as Tolmers Village. The early Victorian Tolmers Square has been constantly in the thick of this battle. The forces involved are all too familiar in our big cities in recent times: private property speculators versus community pressure groups, with local councils helplessly and often hopelessly enmeshed, unable to reconcile the financial pressures behind "redevelopment" with the wishes of local inhabitants. Tolmers Square and the twelve acre site around it has become a symbol of the ills of many of Britain's inner city areas. Nick Wates has written an illustrated history of Tolmers Village with the inside knowledge of a resident and the qualification of a degree in architecture, planning, building and environmental studies.

207

In the late 1950s speculators began to move into the Tolmers area, attracted by the central position of a site which promised massive profits from office "redevelopment." The existing groups of residential accommodation, thriving shops, light industry and a few small offices were to make way for office tower blocks. The mixed nationality, working class community of Tolmers Village would be uprooted and dispersed in the name of capitalist enterprise and progress.

The most well known of all of these speculators was Joe Levy, a man with an apparently insatiable appetite for "redevelopment," who controlled Stock Conversion and Investment Trust-the same successful team that foisted Centre Point and Euston Towers on an unsuspecting London public. Camden council, created from the former LCC in 1965 and generally controlled by Labour during the last decade, attemped to involve itself in the fate of Tolmers illage too. Not surprisingly it failed to take much headway, for reasons endemic in the market forces deployed against it: land prices in potential development areas had rocketed and office rents and rates provide the quickest return on capital borrowed from central government or private sources, particularly when interest rates are rising all the time. Consequently, Camden's own solution to the development of Tolmers Village would involve a housing loss for the area. Once more the local residents would be the losers.

The most obvious recourse for a dissatisfied community was to fight back through its tenants association, led in the early days by the indomitable Rose Gavin. But inevitably Tolmers Village began to suffer from neglect and blight, sometimes unintentional, but more often deliberately engineered by Stock Conversion and Investment Trust.

This process is dramatically illustrated here by numerous photographs and a wealth of interview material. Eventually the Tolmers Village Association grew out of the now depleted tenants association and, helped by support in the local Labour Party and a well orgainsed squatter-student community in Tolmers Square itself, stood firmly against both Levy and Camden Council. A media campaign was started with the support of a couple of enterprising journalists and finally Levy withdrew, although he was compensated for all his troubles to the tune of some £20 million-out of public funds. Meanwhile, Tolmers Square still fights on against a Camden redevelopment proposal which insists on putting offices before people. It is a small foothold in increasingly threatened territory.

Nick Wates has done a good job in chronicling the Tolmers struggle, but history is merely one side of the issue. His book falls short on the political conclusions which should be drawn from the battle for Tolmers. Small groups can organise successfully, take initiatives, run campaigns, rejuvenate whole areas and win limited victories for their particular cause. They cannot see themselves in isolation from the of correlations with pe

28 OCT 1976

. Extraverts and high the widest variety of while high N score rey are men) lead n sex lives. Women ar rely than men to hav f sexual activity whi nich suggests that men lead in the bedroom. I satisfaction with their ey may be getting th

who vote Conserva satisfaction than their voting sisters (they tisfied with themselve men there seems to be ween sexual practices ces liscovery of consistent al differences in hun of course unexpected, ig to find that such di nmodated with of the organisa at our disposal view, Mary nan seem to nt that they bold views about sex t patterns of sorts of people n might say und Personali major books eavy demand s a significant ext ory into hithe erritory, or Eysenek) of presentati e coming ; that he good E ; contribu ian ben DSV er tur 10 e Ages became er red bank accounts. Sw Lime put n. 500 years c -ind the cuckoo-clock. Why Switzerland?" m e softball question, its